MST

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.314 OF 2006

Jaywant Kashinath Patil Petitioner
versus
Deputy Secretary & others Respondents

Mr.C.J.Sawant, Sr.Counsel with Mr.G.J.Sabnis for petitioner.

Mr.D.A.Patil, AGP for respondents 1 and 2.

Ms.Sonali Humare i/by Sushil Mahadeshwar for respondent no.3.

Mr.N.V.Vimadalal, Sr.Counsel with Ms.Mrudula Kadam for respondent no.4.

CORAM : A.S.OKA, J.

DATE : 15th March 2007

PC :

1. Heard learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner. The contempt alleged is of direction contained in paragraph no.6 of the order dated 10th June 2005 passed in Writ Petition No.8923 of 2003. Paragraph no.6 reads thus:-

> "6. In view of the above, we direct Respondent No.2 Education Society to forward the proposal for regularisation of the Petitioner in the post to the 1st

MST

> Respondent University. The University will recommend it to Respondent No.4 - Director of Higher Education, and considering the totality of the circumstances, we expect the Director to grant approval for regularisation of the Petitioner in this post."

2. The only grievance of the petitioner is that on the basis of the proposal for regularisation forwarded by the fourth respondent (Education Society), the Director has not granted the approval for regularisation.

3. Learned AGP states that necessary decision will be taken by the concerned authority on the proposal for regularisation within a period of two weeks from today and the said decision will be communicated to the petitioner and other concerned parties.

4. Statement is accepted. In view of this statement, no further action is called for. Contempt Petition is disposed of.

(A.S.OKA, J.)